

ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಬಹುದು. ಅದರಿಂದ ನನಗೇನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದರೆ ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಏನೂ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The rules are binding on me. That is the trouble. I do not want to deprive the Hon'ble Members of a good meal. I would never do that. But the difficulty is that under the rules I cannot do it.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Sir, why should we not meet daily at 2 o'clock as in Bombay? That would be better, because then the Ministers can attend to their work up to 12 o'clock. What happens now is that if we commence the sitting at 12 o'clock, the routine work cannot go on as usual. So it would be better if the session commences daily at 2 o'clock and closes at 7 o'clock. That is the practice followed in Bombay and even at the Centre. If we meet at 12 o'clock, then it would be very difficult for members to take food earlier, because some are accustomed to take food at 12-30 or 1 P.M. So it would be more convenient if we meet from 2 to 7 as is the practice in Bombay.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection to meet at 2 o'clock, but this question has to be decided by the whole House.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—What is the view of Government?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Whatever time is convenient to the House is convenient to Government. I have no objection to 2 o'clock being fixed as the commencing time.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Sir, this is question hour. The discussion now can relate only to the procedure being adopted with regard to questions. If any member has any suggestion to make with regard to the general procedure of the House, he can make it only after the question hour is over. It is not desirable to waste the precious time that is allotted to questions and it is not also in order. Therefore I would request the Chair not to allow members to debate on this point.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We shall devote the full time allotted for questions. Now

that this point has been raised, it would be better if it is settled. The question hour will begin after this point is decided. So far as I am concerned, I have no objection to meet at 2 o'clock. If that is the desire of the members we can do that. Let all the important members sit together and decide on this point. As far as I am concerned, I would like to sit from 2 to 7, because it is convenient not only to me but also to the Treasury Benches, as in that case we can attend to our work from 10-30 A.M. to 2 P.M. Of course, the whole House has to decide. The members can take their own time and tell me what they desire.

Total Outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan for the new Mysore State

Q.—64. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan as envisaged for the old Mysore State;

(b) whether the same has been revised in view of the addition of the merged areas to the State;

(c) the total outlay now for the enlarged State?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) The total plan outlay was Rs. 80.61 crores.

(b) Yes. With the reorganisation of States and integration of areas, the same has been revised.

(c) The outlay for the new Mysore State is about Rs. 151 crores.

The Outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan.

Q.—195. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the total outlay of the Second Five-Year Plan for the New Mysore;